interpretive judgment, ethical imagination, communicative versatility, and contextual awareness.

The argument of this article is not that engineering education should become less technical but that genuine technical competence for contemporary professional practice includes capacities that humanities disciplines are uniquely positioned to develop. The future of engineering education lies in transcending the two-cultures divide, creating curricula where humanities disciplines are partners in professional formation rather than peripheral obligations. This requires institutional imagination, faculty collaboration across disciplinary boundaries, and recognition that the "soft skills" cultivated by humanities education are in fact the hard-won capacities that enable technical power to serve human purposes effectively, responsibly, and wisely.

References

1. Bucciarelli, L. L. (2008). Ethics and engineering education. European Journal of Engineering Education, 33(2), 141-149.
2. Herkert, J. R. (2005). Ways of thinking about and teaching ethical problem solving. Science and Engineering Ethics, 11(3), 373-385.
3. National Academy of Engineering. (2004). The Engineer of 2020: Visions of Engineering in the New Century. National Academies Press.
4. Nussbaum, M. C. (2010). Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities. Princeton University Press.
5. Passow, H. J., & Passow, C. H. (2017). What competencies should undergraduate engineering programs emphasize? Journal of Engineering Education, 106(3), 475-526.
6. Riley, D. (2008). Engineering and Social Justice. Morgan & Claypool.
7. Sheppard, S. D., Macatangay, K., Colby, A., & Sullivan, W. M. (2009). Educating Engineers: Designing for the Future of the Field. Jossey-Bass.
8. Snow, C. P. (1959). The Two Cultures and the Scientific Revolution. Cambridge University Press.

PREPARING FUTURE PSYCHOLOGY UNDERGRADUATES TO PROVIDE SUPPORT TO WITNESSES OF WAR

Gubareva O. S.,PHD.Associate Professor,

Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University

War creates psychological casualties on a massive scale. Beyond the direct victims of violence, millions of individuals witness atrocities, experience forced displacement, lose loved ones, and endure the systematic destruction of homes,

communities, and the social fabric that provides meaning and security. Research on populations exposed to armed conflict documents elevated rates of post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety disorders, complicated grief, and complex trauma presentations affecting children, adolescents, and adults.

Addressing this psychological burden requires a workforce substantially larger than the available cadre of licensed clinical psychologists and psychiatrists. Psychology undergraduates—students in the later stages of their bachelor's programs who have foundational knowledge of psychological science—represent a potentially significant resource for extending the reach of mental health support. With appropriate training and supervision, these students can provide psychological first aid, facilitate stabilization groups, conduct psychoeducation with affected communities, and support the work of licensed professionals.

However, traditional undergraduate psychology curricula emphasize theoretical knowledge and research methods rather than applied intervention skills. Courses in abnormal psychology, developmental psychology, and clinical psychology provide conceptual understanding but rarely develop the practical competencies required for trauma-informed care in crisis contexts. Preparing undergraduates for this work requires intentional curriculum development addressing both the specific knowledge base of psychological trauma and the practical skills of supportive intervention.

The Psychological Impact of War on Witnesses

The Spectrum of War-Related Trauma Exposure War witnesses encompass diverse populations with varied trauma exposures. Children who have witnessed violence against family members, destruction of homes, and the terror of bombardment face developmental disruptions extending far beyond acute stress reactions. Adolescents negotiate the intersection of war trauma with developmental challenges of identity formation, often in contexts where normal developmental pathways are disrupted or foreclosed. Adults bear the psychological weight of protecting dependents while managing their own fear and grief. Elderly individuals may experience war through the lens of accumulated life trauma and the particular vulnerabilities of aging.

Understanding this diversity is essential for appropriate support provision. A one-size-fits-all approach to trauma support fails to address the specific needs of different populations. Undergraduate training must develop capacities for recognizing how trauma manifests differently across developmental stages, cultural contexts, and individual histories.

Common Psychological Sequelae The psychological consequences of war exposure encompass a broad spectrum. Acute stress reactions—intense anxiety, dissociation, intrusive imagery, hypervigilance—are normative responses to abnormal events and may resolve with appropriate support without developing into chronic conditions. Post-traumatic stress disorder represents a failure of natural recovery processes and is characterized by intrusive re-experiencing, avoidance, negative alterations in cognition and mood, and alterations in arousal and reactivity. Depression and anxiety disorders frequently co-occur with trauma-related conditions. Complicated grief following loss of loved ones under traumatic circumstances presents particular challenges. Complex trauma resulting from prolonged, repeated exposure—particularly characteristic of war contexts—affects regulation, consciousness, self-perception, relationships, and systems of meaning.

Support providers must understand this diagnostic landscape while maintaining awareness that diagnosis is a tool for guiding intervention rather than a label to be applied reductively. The same constellation of symptoms may represent different underlying processes requiring different supportive approaches.

Resilience and Protective Factors Equally important is understanding resilience—the capacity to maintain or recover psychological functioning following trauma exposure. Research identifies protective factors including social support, community cohesion, maintenance of routines and meaningful activity, cultural and spiritual resources, and pre-existing psychological health. Support interventions should build upon and strengthen these protective factors rather than focusing exclusively on pathology.

Core Competencies for Psychology Undergraduates

Trauma-Informed Knowledge Base Effective support provision requires understanding of trauma's psychological mechanisms—how overwhelming experiences affect memory, emotion regulation, attachment systems, and basic assumptions about safety, predictability, and meaning. Undergraduates need grounding in the neurobiology of trauma sufficient to understand the physiological basis of trauma responses and to provide psychoeducation to affected individuals. They require knowledge of evidence-based interventions for trauma-related conditions, even when not qualified to deliver these interventions independently, to facilitate appropriate referrals and support the work of licensed professionals.

Psychological First Aid Skills Psychological first aid (PFA) represents the most appropriate intervention framework for undergraduate-level support provision. PFA is an evidence-informed approach designed to reduce initial distress and foster short- and long-term adaptive functioning. Core PFA competencies include establishing human connection in a non-intrusive, compassionate manner, enhancing immediate and ongoing safety, stabilizing emotionally overwhelmed individuals, gathering information to assess needs and concerns, providing practical assistance addressing immediate needs, connecting individuals with social supports, providing information on coping and promoting adaptive functioning, and linking individuals with collaborative services.

These skills are teachable to undergraduates and provide a structured framework for supportive intervention that respects professional boundaries and scope of practice.

Culturally Responsive Practice War witnesses come from diverse cultural backgrounds with varying understandings of psychological distress, healing, and appropriate help-seeking. Undergraduates must develop cultural humility—the capacity to recognize the limits of their own cultural knowledge and to learn from the individuals and communities they serve. This includes understanding how culture shapes trauma expression, willingness to disclose psychological material, and preferences for intervention approaches.

For psychology undergraduates from the same cultural context as those they serve—a common scenario in war-affected regions—cultural responsiveness also

involves recognizing how shared trauma affects the helping relationship and managing the boundaries between professional and communal roles.

Ethical Practice in Crisis Contexts Crisis contexts present ethical challenges distinct from those encountered in traditional clinical settings. Issues of confidentiality, informed consent, and professional boundaries must be navigated in environments where normal institutional protections may be absent. Undergraduates must understand their scope of practice clearly—what they are and are not qualified to do—and develop the capacity to recognize situations requiring referral to more qualified professionals.

The power dynamics of helping relationships in crisis contexts require particular attention. Well-intentioned support can inadvertently disempower recipients, create dependency, or impose external frameworks inconsistent with local understandings of healing. Ethical practice requires commitment to empowerment and respect for the agency of those receiving support.

Self-Care and Vicarious Trauma Management Exposure to others' trauma narratives carries psychological risks for support providers. Vicarious trauma, secondary traumatic stress, and burnout are well-documented occupational hazards for those working with traumatized populations. Undergraduate training must prepare students to recognize early warning signs of these conditions in themselves and their peers, develop sustainable self-care practices, and maintain appropriate boundaries between professional responsibilities and personal life.

This is not supplementary content but essential preparation for safe and sustainable practice. The unprepared helper who becomes psychologically overwhelmed not only suffers personally but may also harm those they attempt to help through boundary violations, emotional withdrawal, or impaired judgment.

Pedagogical Strategies for Competency Development

Simulation-Based Training Standardized patient encounters and role-play scenarios provide safe environments for developing PFA skills before applying them in real-world contexts. Undergraduates can practice establishing rapport, providing stabilization, and conducting needs assessment with trained actors portraying war

witnesses presenting with various trauma responses. Structured feedback and multiple practice opportunities enable progressive skill development.

Virtual reality and computer-based simulations offer additional possibilities for creating immersive training environments, particularly valuable when live simulation resources are limited.

Supervised Fieldwork and Practicum Experiences Direct supervised experience with war-affected populations, when feasible and ethically appropriate, provides essential professional development. Such experiences must include structured supervision from qualified professionals who can guide students' intervention decisions, process emotional reactions to trauma exposure, and ensure appropriate boundaries.

The graduated exposure model—beginning with observation, progressing to co-facilitation, and eventually independent practice under supervision—provides a scaffolded pathway for competence development.

Interdisciplinary Training Psychological support for war witnesses occurs within broader humanitarian response contexts involving medical professionals, social workers, legal advocates, and community organizations. Interdisciplinary training preparing undergraduates to function effectively within these systems develops understanding of roles, referral pathways, and collaborative practice essential for real-world effectiveness.

Reflective Practice and Processing Structured opportunities for reflection on helping experiences—through journals, supervision, and peer support groups—develop the self-awareness essential for ethical and sustainable practice. Reflection enables students to recognize their emotional responses to trauma material, identify patterns in their helping interactions, and continuously improve their practice.

Curriculum Framework

Foundational Knowledge Courses Preparation begins with coursework providing the knowledge base for trauma-informed practice: psychological trauma and recovery, developmental perspectives on trauma, cultural psychology and global mental health, ethics in crisis and humanitarian contexts, and introduction to psychological first aid. These courses combine theoretical grounding with initial skill practice.

Conclusion The scale of psychological need created by war demands innovative approaches to expanding the mental health workforce. Psychology undergraduates, with appropriate training and supervision, can contribute meaningfully to supporting war witnesses while developing competencies valuable for their subsequent professional development. This requires intentional curriculum design moving beyond theoretical knowledge to develop practical intervention skills, cultural responsiveness, ethical judgment, and self-care capacities.

The investment in undergraduate preparation yields multiple returns: immediate benefit to underserved populations, development of a workforce pipeline for trauma-focused mental health care, and cultivation of psychologists whose professional identity incorporates commitment to serving those affected by the most devastating of human experiences. The challenge is substantial, but so too is the opportunity to prepare a generation of psychology professionals equipped to address the psychological consequences of war with competence, compassion, and wisdom.

References

1. Brymer, M., Jacobs, A., Layne, C., Pynoos, R., Ruzek, J., Steinberg, A., Vernberg, E., & Watson, P. (2006). Psychological First Aid: Field Operations Guide (2nd ed.). National Child Traumatic Stress Network.
2. Hobfoll, S. E., Watson, P., Bell, C. C., et al. (2007). Five essential elements of immediate and mid-term mass trauma intervention. Psychiatry, 70(4), 283-315.
3. Miller, K. E., & Rasmussen, A. (2010). War exposure, daily stressors, and mental health in conflict and post-conflict settings. Social Science & Medicine, 70(1), 7-16.
4. Mollica, R. F., Cardozo, B. L., Osofsky, H. J., Raphael, B., Ager, A., & Salama, P. (2004). Mental health in complex emergencies. The Lancet, 364(9450), 2058-2067.
5. Pearlman, L. A., & Saakvitne, K. W. (1995). Trauma and the Therapist. W. W. Norton.
6. Silove, D., Ventevogel, P., & Rees, S. (2017). The contemporary refugee crisis: An overview of mental health challenges. World Psychiatry, 16(2), 130-139.
7. World Health Organization. (2011). Psychological First Aid: Guide for Field Workers. WHO.

AUTOMATED ASSESSMENT SYSTEMS IN CLOUD ENVIRONMENTS

Gerasymchuk T. V.,PHD, Associate Professor,

Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University