7. Mentzer, J.T., Gomes, R. and Krapfel, R.E. (1989). "Physical Distribution Service: A Fundamental Marketing Concept?" Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 17(1), pp. 53-62.
8. Shapiro, B.P. (1977). "Can Marketing and Manufacturing Coexist?" Harvard Business Review, 55(5), pp. 104-114.
9. Smith, A.K., Bolton, R.N. and Wagner, J. (1999). "A Model of Customer Satisfaction with Service Encounters Involving Failure and Recovery." Journal of Marketing Research, 36(3), pp. 356-372.
10. Tax, S.S., Brown, S.W. and Chandrashekaran, M. (1998). "Customer Evaluations of Service Complaint Experiences: Implications for Relationship Marketing." Journal of Marketing, 62(2), pp. 60-76.
11. Zinn, W. and Liu, P.C. (2001). "Consumer Response to Retail Stockouts." Journal of Business Logistics, 22(1), pp. 49-71.

WAREHOUSE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: THE DIGITAL BACKBONE OF MODERN LOGISTICS

V. Rebryshcheva, student,
T. Gerasymchuk, Ph.D, Associate professor,
Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University

A warehouse is more than a building where goods are stored. It is a dynamic, high-velocity operation where thousands of decisions are made every hour: where to put incoming stock, how to pick orders efficiently, when to replenish forward-pick locations, and how to pack shipments to minimize damage and shipping costs. When these decisions are made with spreadsheets, paper lists, and institutional memory, errors multiply, productivity stalls, and customers feel the consequences. A Warehouse Management System (WMS) replaces guesswork with precision, coordinating people, processes, and data so that inventory counts, bin locations, and order statuses reflect reality (Richards, 2022).

The WMS market has grown to approximately $2.91 billion globally in 2024, with a striking projected compound annual growth rate of 16.70% through 2035 (Grand View Research, 2025). This growth reflects the essential role WMS platforms now play

in an era of e-commerce acceleration, omnichannel complexity, and relentless pressure for faster, more accurate fulfillment. This article examines what a WMS is, how it works, the technology trends shaping its evolution, and the strategic considerations involved in selecting and implementing one.

What Is a Warehouse Management System? A Warehouse Management System is software that coordinates how goods move through a facility—from receiving to shipping—while maintaining an accurate record of stock and locations (Bartholdi and Hackman, 2019). Think of a WMS as the coach and scorekeeper for a warehouse. It calls the plays—what to pick, which bin to use, what to count—and also keeps the scoreboard accurate with time-stamped, auditable transactions (Frazelle, 2016).

Not every WMS is the same. Some are comprehensive platforms with labor planning, slotting algorithms, wave orchestration, and carrier management. Others are focused mobile layers that add barcode and RFID scanning, on-device validations, and guided workflows on top of an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system that remains the system of record (Gartner, 2024). Both approaches can be valid, depending on an organization's complexity, number of sites, and budget.

The distinction between a WMS and an ERP is fundamental. The ERP handles administrative processes—finance, procurement, order management—while the WMS manages day-to-day logistics execution on the warehouse floor (Min, 2015). The two systems are complementary. The ERP provides the planning backbone; the WMS executes the physical work and feeds accurate data back.

How a WMS Works Across Core Warehouse Workflows

Receiving Receiving is where accuracy begins. A capable WMS supports Advanced Shipment Notification (ASN) or purchase-order-based receiving, verifies quantities and serial or lot data, and can trigger on-device label printing the moment a pallet lands (Richards, 2022). The system should handle discrepancies gracefully with exception codes—overages, shortages, damage—rather than forcing workarounds or paper notes that never get reconciled. When receiving is done right, every subsequent process operates on a foundation of accurate data.

Put-Away and Slotting Once goods are received, the WMS directs put-away to

optimize space and efficiency. Smart systems propose bin assignments based on velocity (fast movers close to packing), physical characteristics (heavy items low, fragile items segregated), or regulatory rules (hazardous materials kept apart). Even a simple ruleset can reduce travel time significantly (Bartholdi and Hackman, 2019). Some systems now incorporate AI-driven slotting that dynamically adjusts locations based on changing demand patterns (Gartner, 2026).

Picking On the outbound side, picking is the most labor-intensive and error-prone activity. A WMS should guide workers step-by-step through wave, batch, zone, or discrete order picking, validate each scan against the order, warn on duplicate or wrong items, and support packing and shipping with cartonization logic and label printing (Frazelle, 2016). Good picking workflows combine routing optimization—minimizing walking distance—with real-time validation that catches errors before they reach the shipping dock. Research on warehouse order picking confirms that travel time typically accounts for 50% or more of total picking labor, making routing optimization one of the highest-impact WMS capabilities (de Koster, Le-Duc and Roodbergen, 2007).

Packing, Shipping, and Returns A WMS extends its control through packing verification, carrier-compliant label generation, and shipment confirmation. When returns arrive, the system manages inspection, disposition (restock, refurbish, liquidate, or dispose), and the credit issuance process. Seamless handling of reverse logistics has become essential in an e-commerce environment where return rates average 15% to 30% (Statista, 2023).

Core Capabilities and Selection Criteria When evaluating a WMS, organizations should prioritize capabilities that demonstrably change operational outcomes (Gartner, 2024).

Inventory accuracy is foundational. Systems that combine tight location control, barcode or RFID capture, and on-device validation checks consistently achieve accuracy rates exceeding 99% (Richards, 2022). In practice, transitioning from paper-based tracking to a WMS can lift inventory accuracy from low 80% levels to over 99%, while slashing annual physical inventory time from days to hours (Frazelle, 2016).

Mobile depth separates systems that genuinely accelerate floor work from those

that merely digitize paper processes. Rugged Android support, continuous scan modes, wearable or ring scanners, and on-device label printing are where minutes per task are won or lost. Sub-second response on handheld devices keeps workers in flow; latency turns scanning into an obstacle course (Bartholdi and Hackman, 2019).

Integration discipline determines whether the WMS becomes a seamless component of the enterprise technology stack or a disconnected island. The ERP, e-commerce platforms, or accounting systems are typically the systems of record. The WMS should post safely with audit trails, mapping mobile events—goods receipt, transfer order, adjustment—into ERP objects without overwhelming back-end systems with excessive transaction volumes (Min, 2015). Certified connectors to major ERP platforms including SAP, Oracle, and Microsoft Dynamics can save months of project time.

On-device validations that block mistakes at scan time—checking item, lot, serial, expiration, and unit of measure—shift error correction from post-hoc reconciliation to in-the-moment prevention. A WMS that allows any scan through merely shifts the cost of correction to the ERP and accounting functions later (Richards, 2022).

Technology Trends Shaping WMS

Cloud Adoption Cloud-first deployment has become the dominant model, with more than 85% of new WMS customers preferring cloud when the economics are sound (Gartner, 2025). Cloud-based systems eliminate significant upfront capital investment, enable remote access for distributed management, and scale capabilities as the business grows (Accorsi, Manzini and Maranesi, 2018). For small and medium-sized enterprises, cloud WMS provides a cost-effective entry point that would have been unavailable a decade ago.

Artificial Intelligence AI in warehousing is advancing from concept demonstrations to practical applications. Current use cases include intelligent slotting based on velocity and affinity, pick-path optimization, and demand forecasting that drives labor and replenishment planning (Gartner, 2026). The 2026 Gartner Magic Quadrant for WMS notes that many vendors have begun restructuring to enable agentic

AI, with some deploying generative AI to support data retrieval and ease of use (Gartner, 2026). The next wave encompasses decision support, optimization, and autonomous execution—features that appear on nearly every vendor's roadmap but vary significantly in their practical readiness.

Automation Integration Labor shortages continue to drive technology investment. Warehouses are increasingly deploying autonomous mobile robots (AMRs), collaborative robots, and automated storage and retrieval systems alongside human workers (Azadeh, de Koster and Roy, 2019). The WMS must orchestrate this blended workforce—assigning tasks to people and machines, monitoring exceptions, and providing supervisors with unified visibility across manual and automated operations.

Edge-Aware Architecture While cloud dominates, the warehouse floor demands resilience when connectivity fails. Modern systems increasingly deliver offline-first mobile workflows with embedded device databases, local queuing, and automatic synchronization when the network restores. This edge capability protects productivity during inevitable Wi-Fi dead zones or network outages (Richards, 2022).

Implementation: The Difference Between Success and Failure A technically sound WMS can fail to deliver value if implementation is mishandled. Research on WMS projects identifies several recurring patterns in both success and failure (Motwani et al., 2002).

Begin with a pilot. Choose a single process—cycle counts or receiving are common starting points—and one zone or site. The goal is to validate device ergonomics, offline behavior, and data mapping with minimal disruption. A well-structured pilot typically runs two to four weeks and focuses on throughput, exception handling, and accuracy improvements versus baseline (Richards, 2022).

Clean your data before go-live. Even the best WMS cannot rescue sloppy item masters, inconsistent units of measure, or missing bin hierarchies. The time spent on naming conventions, location definitions, and label standardization before implementation prevents weeks of confusion afterward (Frazelle, 2016). Common warning signs include incomplete product attributes, "ghost" customer records, and

undocumented exception processes that only become apparent during testing.

Manage resistance through involvement. Users often only become aware of a new WMS once it goes live. Until that point, they have never seen the interface and have no idea what the system means for their day-to-day work (Bartholdi and Hackman, 2019). Staff may resist a new platform if they have relied on another system—including spreadsheets and tribal knowledge—for years. Getting team members involved in the selection process and ensuring the system solves their genuine pain points builds acceptance.

Train with real devices, not screenshots. Barcode scanning is muscle memory; workers need to practice in the aisles, not memorize slides. Document exceptions—over-receipt, duplicate serial, negative stock—and ensure the system's prompts guide workers rather than forcing reliance on informal knowledge (Richards, 2022).

Plan for continuous improvement. External factors—fluctuating volumes, evolving services, growing user demands—require constant adjustment. Treating go-live as the finish line rather than the starting point for ongoing optimization is a common strategic error (Min, 2015).

The Complexity Question: Matching System to Need One of the most important decisions in WMS selection is matching the system's capability level to the organization's operational complexity. Gartner uses a five-level model that stratifies warehouses from Level 1 (most basic) to Level 5 (most complex and automated). The preponderance of warehouse operations globally are Level 3 or below and do not require, nor would they normally use, the most advanced functionality (Gartner, 2024).

Overbuying creates genuine problems: higher costs, longer deployments, and sophisticated features that are never used. Underbuying limits growth and can force a painful re-implementation as operations evolve. For organizations operating multiple facilities, a single WMS rarely fits the entire network optimally. A retailer or third-party logistics provider might operate everything from a small regional distribution center to a highly automated fulfillment hub, with genuinely different functional priorities at each end of that spectrum (Gartner, 2024).

Measuring Success: KPIs and ROI The return on investment from a WMS often

materializes quickly in specific areas. Many teams see 30-40% fewer hours spent on inventory counts once guided mobile counting with variance thresholds is implemented (Richards, 2022). Early pilots commonly surface 1-2% phantom stock—inventory the company thought it had but did not—which has outsized effects on stockouts and working capital requirements (Frazelle, 2016).

Key performance indicators to track include items per minute (or lines per hour), pick accuracy percentage, on-time ship rates, receiving dwell time (how long pallets wait before first processing), and inventory location accuracy (Bartholdi and Hackman, 2019). Financially, organizations should model three cost buckets: software (licenses or subscriptions), services (implementation, training), and equipment (devices, printers, labels). These costs are then compared against savings from reclaimed labor time, reduced write-offs, fewer reshipments, lower safety stock levels enabled by better accuracy, and stabilized throughput during peak periods (Richards, 2022).

Conclusion A Warehouse Management System is not merely a software purchase. It is an investment in operational capability that shapes how an organization serves its customers, utilizes its workforce, and deploys its working capital. The WMS market offers a wide spectrum of solutions—from focused mobile warehousing layers that complement existing ERP investments to comprehensive platforms that orchestrate highly automated, multi-site networks.

Choosing the right system requires clarity about current operational complexity and realistic future needs. Implementing it successfully requires attention to data quality, user involvement, and the human dynamics of change. But when selected wisely and deployed effectively, a WMS transforms the warehouse from a source of operational anxiety into a foundation of competitive advantage—delivering the accuracy, speed, and visibility that modern supply chains demand.

References

1. Accorsi, R., Manzini, R. and Maranesi, F. (2018). "A Decision-Support System for the Design and Management of Warehousing Systems." Computers in Industry, 65(1), pp. 175-186.
2. Azadeh, K., de Koster, R. and Roy, D. (2019). "Robotized and Automated Warehouse Systems: Review and Recent Developments." Transportation Science, 53(4), pp. 917-945.

3. Bartholdi, J.J. and Hackman, S.T. (2019). Warehouse & Distribution Science. Release 0.98. Atlanta, GA: Georgia Institute of Technology.
4. de Koster, R., Le-Duc, T. and Roodbergen, K.J. (2007). "Design and Control of Warehouse Order Picking: A Literature Review." European Journal of Operational Research, 182(2), pp. 481-501.
5. Frazelle, E. (2016). World-Class Warehousing and Material Handling. 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.
6. Gartner. (2024). Magic Quadrant for Warehouse Management Systems. Stamford, CT: Gartner.
7. Gartner. (2025). Market Guide for Warehouse Management Systems. Stamford, CT: Gartner.
8. Gartner. (2026). Magic Quadrant for Warehouse Management Systems. Stamford, CT: Gartner.
9. Grand View Research. (2025). Warehouse Management System Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report, 2025-2035. San Francisco, CA: Grand View

THE FUTURE OF GROUND TRANSPORTATION: A STUDENT'S PERSPECTIVE

D. Babajanyan student,

T. Gerasymchuk, Ph.D, Associate professor,

Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University

By a Logistics and Supply Chain Management Student When I tell people I'm studying logistics and transportation, they often picture trucks rumbling down highways, diesel fumes, and endless traffic jams. It's an image from the past, not the future I see. As a student about to enter this industry, I don't see a slow-moving, dirty sector. I see a revolution—one powered by artificial intelligence, clean energy, and entirely new ways of thinking about how people and goods move. The future of ground transportation isn't just about getting from A to B faster; it's about redefining what mobility means for our society, our economy, and our planet. I want to explore that future as I prepare to help build it.

The Forces Reshaping the Road My textbooks tell me that ground transportation accounts for roughly 6% of global CO2 emissions, with heavy trucks being a major culprit (International Energy Agency, 2023). That's a staggering number, and it's one of the reasons I feel a mix of excitement and responsibility. The generation before me built a system that moved the world, but also warmed it. My generation's task is to