Implementation Considerations Successful CBE implementation requires substantial faculty development. Academics accustomed to content-centered teaching need support in articulating learning outcomes, designing active learning experiences, developing authentic assessments, and providing competency-oriented feedback. Institutional infrastructure—learning management systems supporting competency tracking, simulation facilities, workplace learning management systems—must align with CBE demands. Quality assurance frameworks oriented toward inputs require adaptation for outcomes-focused contexts, though accreditation standards increasingly emphasize graduate competencies.

Conclusion The competency-based approach represents a coherent direction for higher education reform. By reorienting curricula around demonstrable outcomes, integrating generic with specialized competencies, implementing active methodologies, developing authentic assessment, and strengthening industry partnerships, CBE addresses persistent concerns about graduate preparedness. Challenges in faculty development, infrastructure, and quality assurance are substantial but addressable. As professional practice grows more complex and interdisciplinary, developing integrated professional competence—rather than merely transmitting knowledge—becomes the defining characteristic of effective higher education.

References

1. Baartman, L. K. J., et al. (2007). Evaluating assessment quality in competence-based education. Educational Research Review, 2(2), 114-129.
2. Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for Quality Learning at University (4th ed.). Open University Press.
3. Mulder, M. (2014). Conceptions of professional competence. In S. Billett et al. (Eds.), International Handbook of Research in Professional and Practice-Based Learning. Springer.
4. Tuning Academy. (2020). Tuning Educational Structures in Europe. University of Deusto.

WAYS TO IMPROVE THE METHODOLOGY OF TRAINING CLASSES OF FUTURE OFFICERS USING INTERACTIVE EDUCATIONAL AND TRAINING COMPLEXES

Semenyuk V.I., Associate Professor,
Frunt R. M., Senior Lecturer,
Department of Combined-Arms and Humanitarian Training,
Faculty of Contract Reserve Officer Training,
Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University

Contemporary military operations are characterized by technological sophistication, operational tempo, and complexity. Future officers must master traditional military knowledge alongside advanced technologies, rapid decision-making under ambiguity, and adaptive leadership. Traditional methods—lectures, textbooks, limited field exercises—prove insufficient for developing these integrated competencies.

Interactive educational and training complexes offer a significant methodological opportunity. These systems integrate computer-based simulations, virtual and augmented reality, tactical decision trainers, and comprehensive platforms replicating operational conditions with high fidelity. By creating immersive, responsive environments, they enable future officers to develop complex competencies safely, repeatedly, and with immediate feedback.

Modern officer training is organized around competency-based frameworks specifying integrated knowledge, skills, and professional attributes: tactical thinking, leadership, decision-making under uncertainty, communication, and ethical reasoning. This demands pedagogical methods enabling active practice in contexts approximating professional reality.

The methodological foundation draws on experiential learning theory—effective learning proceeds through concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. Simulation accelerates this cycle through multiple iterations within compressed timeframes. Situated cognition theory further supports this approach, emphasizing that learning is most effective within authentic contexts.

Key principles of simulation-based training include progressive complexity, deliberate practice with corrective feedback, objective performance measurement,

transfer enhancement, and psychological fidelity replicating cognitive and emotional demands.

Integration of Virtual and Constructive Simulation The first direction involves systematic integration of virtual simulation (trainees interacting with computer-generated environments) and constructive simulation (computer models for tactical analysis) within curricula.

Methodological improvements include embedding simulations throughout the curriculum rather than as isolated events, designing progressive scenario sequences developing increasingly complex decision-making, utilizing constructive simulations for "what-if" analysis of alternative decisions, and integrating simulations across tactical, operational, and strategic levels. Virtual environments enable realistic terrain, dynamic scenario evolution responding to decisions, and multiple iterations for rapid skill development.

Team Coordination and Leadership Development Interactive complexes create unique opportunities for developing team coordination capabilities difficult to cultivate through traditional methods.

Directions include multi-station complexes where candidates rotate through command, operations, intelligence, and logistics roles within simulated headquarters, communication simulators replicating information flow and stress of operational command posts, and virtual team training enabling distributed teams to coordinate across locations. After-action review capabilities—recording decisions, communications, and outcomes—support structured debriefing where teams analyze performance and identify improvements.

Adaptive and Intelligent Tutoring Artificial intelligence integration represents a significant advance. Intelligent tutoring systems embedded within simulations can track individual decisions, identify patterns of strength and weakness, adapt scenario difficulty, provide immediate context-specific feedback, and recommend targeted supplementary training. This personalization enables efficient development—trainees progress at individual pace while instructors receive diagnostic information enabling targeted coaching.

Blending Physical and Virtual Environments Contemporary complexes increasingly integrate physical and virtual training, creating mixed-reality environments. Improvements include augmented reality overlaying tactical information onto physical training areas, instrumented ranges where physical actions integrate with virtual elements, and human-patient simulators combined with tactical scenarios for integrated combat medicine training. These environments create fidelity exceeding purely physical or virtual approaches, enabling practice requiring both physical skill execution and tactical decision-making.

Assessment and Competency Certification Interactive complexes transform assessment from knowledge recall to performance evaluation. Complexes capture comprehensive data including decision timelines, information-seeking behaviors, communication patterns, and outcome metrics.

Directions include developing validated performance metrics for key competencies, creating standardized assessment scenarios for reliable comparison, implementing progressive competency gateways requiring demonstrated performance before advancement, and e-portfolio systems documenting development through captured simulation data.

Integration of interactive complexes transforms instructors from information transmitters to learning facilitators, performance coaches, and scenario designers. This requires instructor training in facilitation and debriefing skills, competence in scenario design and adaptation, and communities of practice sharing effective complex utilization practices.

Effective implementation requires systematic investment in simulation centers with appropriate hardware, software, and networking; interoperability standards enabling system integration; maintenance and technical support structures; and scalable architectures.

Curriculum integration requires mapping complex capabilities to competency objectives, developing exercise sequences aligned with curricular progression, allocating adequate time for simulation-based activities, and integrating complex-based assessments within evaluation frameworks.

Faculty development programs should address technical operation, facilitation skills, scenario design, after-action review methodologies, and performance data interpretation.

Continuous improvement requires systematic collection of performance data, regular scenario updating based on evolving requirements, instructor feedback mechanisms, and research programs investigating learning effectiveness and transfer.

Conclusion Interactive educational and training complexes represent a significant methodological opportunity for officer training. By creating immersive environments enabling repeated deliberate practice of complex competencies, these technologies address fundamental limitations of traditional methods. The directions outlined—simulation integration, team coordination development, adaptive tutoring, blended environments, assessment transformation, and instructor role evolution—provide a comprehensive framework.

Success requires sustained commitment to infrastructure, curriculum integration, faculty preparation, and continuous improvement. The investment is warranted by the imperative of preparing officers for increasingly complex operational environments. Interactive training complexes, methodologically integrated within comprehensive development programs, offer a proven pathway for meeting this challenge.

References

1. Cannon-Bowers, J. A., & Bowers, C. A. (2010). Synthetic learning environments. In J. M. Spector et al. (Eds.), Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology. Routledge.
2. Ericsson, K. A. (2008). Deliberate practice and acquisition of expert performance. Academic Emergency Medicine, 15(11), 988-994.
3. Kolb, D. A. (2015). Experiential Learning (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.
4. Salas, E., Wildman, J. L., & Piccolo, R. F. (2009). Using simulation-based training. Academy of Management Learning & Education, 8(4), 559-573.

THE ROLE OF HUMANITY IN TRAINING FUTURE ENGINEERS

Dimitrova N., PhD, Associate Professor,VUM,
Voronova Ye. M., Associate Professor,
Gerasymchuk T. V., PHD, Associate Professor,
Gubareva O. S., PHD, Associate Professor,