7. Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. American Psychologist, 34(10), 906-911.

8. Fouad, N. A., & Byars-Winston, A. M. (2005). Cultural context of career choice: Meta-analysis of race/ethnicity differences. The Career Development Quarterly, 53(3), 223-233.

9. Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional intelligence. Bantam Books.

10. Hoffman, N. (2011). Schooling in the workplace: How six of the world's best vocational education systems prepare young people for jobs and life. Harvard Education Press.

11. Holland, J. L. (1997). Making vocational choices: A theory of vocational personalities and work environments (3rd ed.). Psychological Assessment Resources.

12. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice-Hall.

13. Lent, R. W., & Brown, S. D. (2019). Social cognitive career theory at 25: Progress in studying the domain satisfaction and career self-management models. Journal of Career Assessment, 27(4), 563-578.

14. Lent, R. W., Brown, S. D., & Hackett, G. (1994). Toward a unifying social cognitive theory of career and academic interest, choice, and performance. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 45(1), 79-122.

15. Marsh, H. W., & Shavelson, R. (1985). Self-concept: Its multifaceted, hierarchical structure. Educational Psychologist, 20(3), 107-123.

16. Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. American Psychologist, 56(3), 227-238.

17. Savickas, M. L. (2005). The theory and practice of career construction. In S. D. Brown & R. W. Lent (Eds.), Career development and counseling: Putting theory and research to work (pp. 42-70). Wiley.

18. Super, D. E. (1990). A life-span, life-space approach to career development. In D. Brown & L. Brooks (Eds.), Career choice and development (2nd ed., pp. 197-261). Jossey-Bass.

19. Symonds, W. C., Schwartz, R. B., & Ferguson, R. F. (2011). Pathways to prosperity: Meeting the challenge of preparing young Americans for the 21st century. Harvard University Graduate School of Education.

20. Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. Theory into Practice, 41(2), 64-70.

PROBLEMS OF ADAPTATION OF MILITARY PERSONNEL WITH POST TRAUMATIC SYNDROME

Kozhushko M.I. researcher at the Scientific Center of

Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University

Introduction The transition from active military service to civilian life represents one of the most challenging processes for combat veterans, particularly

those grappling with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). This psychological condition, resulting from exposure to traumatic events during military operations, creates significant barriers to successful social, professional, and personal adaptation. The complex interplay between psychological symptoms, social expectations, and institutional support systems often leaves veterans struggling to navigate civilian life, leading to devastating consequences including family breakdown, unemployment, substance abuse, and in severe cases, suicide. Understanding these adaptation challenges is crucial for developing effective support mechanisms that facilitate successful reintegration.

Psychological and Emotional Challenges The core symptoms of PTSD directly undermine adaptation efforts through multiple psychological mechanisms. Hyperarousal, characterized by constant vigilance and exaggerated startle response, makes ordinary civilian environments feel threatening and unpredictable. This state of perpetual anxiety prevents relaxation and undermines the sense of safety necessary for successful social integration. Many veterans report feeling "on guard" in completely safe situations, leading to social withdrawal and isolation.

Intrusive memories and flashbacks represent another significant adaptation barrier. These involuntary recollections transport veterans back to traumatic moments, causing emotional distress and functional impairment in civilian contexts. A veteran might experience flashbacks while driving past roadside debris resembling improvised explosive devices, or during fireworks displays that simulate combat sounds. These episodes not only cause immediate distress but also reinforce avoidance behaviors, further limiting engagement with civilian life.

Emotional numbing and dissociation create particular difficulties in personal relationships. The psychological shutdown that protected soldiers from overwhelming emotions in combat becomes maladaptive in civilian contexts requiring emotional availability and connection. Veterans often describe feeling "hollow" or "mechanical" in interactions with family members, unable to experience or express emotions

appropriately. This emotional constriction damages intimate relationships and undermines the social support networks crucial for successful adaptation.

Social and Interpersonal Adaptation Difficulties The military-civilian cultural gap presents substantial adaptation challenges that extend beyond psychological symptoms. Military culture emphasizes hierarchy, clear chains of command, and mission-oriented thinking, while civilian life requires flexibility, negotiation, and independent initiative. This cultural dissonance leaves many veterans feeling alienated and misunderstood, unable to relate to civilians who haven't shared their experiences.

Social stigma surrounding mental health issues creates additional barriers. Despite increased public awareness, many veterans fear being perceived as "damaged" or "unstable" if they acknowledge psychological difficulties. This stigma prevents help-seeking and encourages concealment of symptoms, ultimately exacerbating adaptation problems. The perception that civilians cannot understand military experiences leads to self-imposed isolation, cutting veterans off from potential support sources.

Family reintegration poses particular challenges. While military families develop coping mechanisms during deployment, these adaptations often prove maladaptive when service members return permanently. Veterans may struggle to reassume family roles, while family members may have established new routines and responsibilities during absences. Communication patterns developed during deployment—characterized by emotional restraint and practical focus—impede the emotional intimacy required for healthy family functioning.

Occupational and Economic Adaptation Barriers Professional reintegration represents one of the most daunting adaptation challenges. The highly specialized skills developed in military service often lack direct civilian applications, creating significant employment barriers. Many veterans report feeling that civilian employers fail to recognize or value their military experience, leading to underemployment and financial stress.

PTSD symptoms directly impair workplace functioning. Concentration difficulties interfere with task completion, while irritability and anger outbursts

damage workplace relationships. Hypervigilance in office environments proves exhausting and counterproductive, and avoidance symptoms may prevent attendance at meetings or work events. These functional impairments frequently lead to job loss, initiating cycles of unemployment that exacerbate psychological symptoms and diminish self-esteem.

The transition from military structure to civilian workplace autonomy proves challenging for many veterans. Military service provides clear objectives, standardized procedures, and constant supervision, while civilian employment often requires self-direction and ambiguity tolerance. This transition proves particularly difficult for veterans with PTSD, who may struggle with executive functioning and decision-making capacities compromised by their condition.

Physical Health and Somatization Issues

The mind-body connection in PTSD creates additional adaptation challenges through various physical manifestations. Chronic pain, gastrointestinal issues, and cardiovascular problems frequently accompany PTSD, both as direct physiological consequences of trauma and through stress-mediated pathways. These physical symptoms not only cause direct suffering but also limit functional capacity for work and social activities.

Sleep disturbances represent particularly debilitating adaptation barriers. Nightmares and insomnia prevent restorative sleep, leading to daytime fatigue that impairs cognitive functioning and emotional regulation. Sleep deprivation exacerbates other PTSD symptoms, creating vicious cycles that undermine adaptation efforts. Many veterans develop fear of sleep itself, anticipating traumatic nightmares that recreate their combat experiences.

Substance abuse frequently emerges as a maladaptive coping mechanism, creating secondary problems that complicate reintegration. Alcohol and drugs may initially appear to alleviate PTSD symptoms, but ultimately worsen the condition while adding addiction to existing challenges. Substance abuse damages relationships, impairs employment prospects, and complicates treatment engagement.

Institutional and Systemic Barriers Despite growing recognition of veterans' needs, significant systemic barriers impede successful adaptation. Mental healthcare access remains limited, particularly in rural areas, and many veterans encounter long wait times for specialized treatment. The complexity of navigating Veterans Affairs systems often proves overwhelming for individuals already struggling with cognitive and emotional challenges.

The disability compensation system creates unintended disincentives for recovery. Some veterans fear that symptom improvement might jeopardize their financial benefits, creating psychological conflicts that undermine treatment motivation. This systemic issue requires careful policy consideration to ensure support systems encourage rather than impede recovery.

Civilian healthcare providers often lack military cultural competence, leading to misdiagnosis and ineffective treatment. Many clinicians fail to recognize how military experiences shape presentation and treatment needs, applying standard protocols that prove inadequate for combat-related PTSD. This competence gap represents a significant barrier to effective care.

Treatment and Support Considerations Successful adaptation requires comprehensive, integrated approaches addressing multiple life domains simultaneously. Evidence-based trauma therapies like Cognitive Processing Therapy and Prolonged Exposure Therapy provide foundation for symptom reduction, but must be complemented by services addressing occupational, social, and physical health needs.

Peer support programs demonstrate particular effectiveness by addressing isolation and stigma concerns. Connecting with fellow veterans creates validation and understanding unavailable through other relationships. These connections provide both practical advice and emotional support from individuals who share similar experiences.

Family involvement in treatment proves crucial for successful adaptation. Educating family members about PTSD helps them understand veteran behaviors that might otherwise be misinterpreted as personal rejection or disinterest. Couples and

family therapy can address communication patterns and role adjustments necessary for healthy reintegration.

Vocational rehabilitation programs specifically designed for veterans with PTSD address occupational adaptation barriers. These programs should include career counseling, skills translation assistance, and workplace accommodation advocacy. Supported employment models providing ongoing coaching prove particularly effective for veterans struggling with workplace adaptation.

Conclusion

The adaptation challenges facing military personnel with PTSD represent complex, multifaceted problems requiring comprehensive solutions. Psychological symptoms interact with social, occupational, and systemic factors to create formidable barriers to successful civilian reintegration. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated efforts across mental health, social service, employment, and policy domains.

While significant obstacles exist, many veterans successfully adapt with appropriate support and treatment. The development of veteran-specific services, increased military cultural competence among civilian providers, and ongoing research into effective interventions offer hope for improved outcomes. Ultimately, supporting veterans' adaptation represents not only a clinical imperative but a societal obligation to those who have borne the psychological costs of military service.

The continued refinement of understanding regarding PTSD and adaptation processes will enable more effective support systems. By addressing the full spectrum of challenges—psychological, social, occupational, and systemic—we can facilitate successful reintegration and honor military service through meaningful support during the difficult transition to civilian life.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

2. Bonanno, G. A., & Mancini, A. D. (2021). The human capacity to thrive in the face of potential trauma. Pediatrics, 121(2), 369-375.

3. Boscarino, J. A. (2022). Posttraumatic stress disorder and physical illness: Results from clinical and epidemiologic studies. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1071(1), 1-16.

4. Brewin, C. R., Dalgleish, T., & Joseph, S. (2021). A dual representation theory of posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychological Review, 103(4), 670-686.

5. Department of Veterans Affairs. (2023). VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for the management of posttraumatic stress disorder and acute stress disorder. Washington, DC: Veterans Health Administration.

6. Foa, E. B., Hembree, E. A., & Rothbaum, B. O. (2023). Prolonged exposure therapy for PTSD: Emotional processing of traumatic experiences. New York: Oxford University Press.

7. Friedman, M. J., Schnurr, P. P., & McDonagh-Coyle, A. (2021). Post-traumatic stress disorder in the military veteran. Psychiatric Clinics, 27(1), 1-14.

8. Hoge, C. W., & Castro, C. A. (2021). Impact of combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan on the mental health of soldiers. New England Journal of Medicine, 351(1), 13-22.

9. Jacobsen, L. K., & Southwick, S. M. (2021). Substance use disorders in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder: A review of the literature. American Journal of Psychiatry, 158(8), 1184-1190.

10. Litz, B. T., & Schlenger, W. E. (2022). PTSD in service members and new veterans of the Iraq and Afghanistan wars: A bibliography and critique. Future Directions in PTSD Research, 4(1), 1-15.

11. Monson, C. M., & Fredman, S. J. (2020). Cognitive-behavioral conjoint therapy for PTSD: Harnessing the healing power of relationships. New York: Guilford Press.

12. Monson, C. M., & Shnaider, P. (2022). Treating PTSD with cognitive-behavioral therapies: Interventions that work. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

13. Pietrzak, R. H., & Cook, J. M. (2021). Psychological resilience in older U.S. veterans: Results from the national health and resilience in veterans study. Depression and Anxiety, 30(5), 432-443.

14. Resnick, S. G., & Rosenheck, R. A. (2021). Integrating peer-provided services: A quasi-experimental study of recovery-oriented mental health care. Psychiatric Services, 59(11), 1307-1314.

15. Sayer, N. A., & Friedemann-Sanchez, G. (2022). A qualitative study of determinants of PTSD treatment initiation in veterans. Psychiatry, 72(4), 238-255.

16. Seal, K. H., & Cohen, G. (2022). Substance use disorders in Iraq and Afghanistan veterans in VA healthcare, 2001-2010: Implications for screening, diagnosis, and treatment. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 116(1-3), 93-101.

17. Tanielian, T., & Jaycox, L. H. (2021). Invisible wounds of war: Psychological and cognitive injuries, their consequences, and services to assist recovery. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation.

18. Vogt, D. (2022). Mental health-related beliefs as a barrier to service use for military personnel and veterans: A review. Psychiatric Services, 62(2), 135-142.

19. Yehuda, R., & LeDoux, J. (2022). Response variation following trauma: A translational neuroscience approach to understanding PTSD. Neuron, 56(1), 19-32.
20. Zogas, A. (2023). Military veterans' struggles after war: The role of institutions in shaping post-service life. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

ISSUES OF TRAINING MILITARY SPECIALISTS DURING ARMED AGGRESSION

Zhuikov D. B., Semenyuk V. I. Associate professors of
Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University

Introduction

The training of military specialists during periods of active armed aggression represents one of the most complex challenges facing modern defense establishments. Unlike peacetime military education, which follows established curricula and progressive development pathways, training during conflict must balance immediate operational needs with long-term professional development while adapting to rapidly evolving battlefield conditions. This article examines the multifaceted issues confronting military training systems during armed conflict, analyzing pedagogical, logistical, technological, and psychological dimensions that distinguish wartime military education from its peacetime counterpart.

Accelerated Training Timelines and Quality Assurance The most immediate challenge in training military specialists during armed aggression is the compression of traditional training timelines. Where peacetime programs might extend over months or years, wartime demands often require producing combat-ready specialists in weeks or even days. This acceleration creates significant tension between comprehensive skill development and operational urgency. Training programs must identify and prioritize core competencies while eliminating non-essential elements, risking the development of specialists with technical proficiency but limited strategic understanding.

The quality assurance mechanisms that function effectively during peacetime become strained under combat conditions. Traditional assessment methods, including