

## References:

1. Peronaglio F. F. et al. Adaptação automática de conteúdo aplicada em ambiente interativo de aprendizagem individualizada. *Revista Brasileira de Informática na Educação*. 2023. Vol. 31. 104 p.
2. Cardozo N., Dusparic I. Auto-COP: Adaptation generation in context oriented programming using reinforcement learning options. *Information and Software Technology*. 2023. Vol. 164. P. 1-12.
3. Cislowska A. I., Pena-Acuna B. Integration of chatbots in additional language education: A systematic review. *European Journal of Educational Research*. 2024. Vol. 13. №. 4. P. 1607-1625.

## ASYNCHRONOUS LEARNING AS THE NEW DEFAULT IN US EDUCATION SYSTEM

**Rodrigues R.**

*PhD, Associate Professor*

*Texas A&M University-Commerce*

*Texas, US*

**Opryshko N.**

*PhD in Philology*

*Department of Language Training*

*Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University*

By 2026, the American education system has moved beyond the «emergency remote teaching» of the early 2020s. What was once a secondary alternative to in-person instruction has become a foundational pillar of both K-12 and higher education. This shift is characterized by asynchronicity: a model where students engage with materials, participate in discussions, and complete assessments on their own schedules rather than at a fixed time.

This transformative shift toward asynchronous online learning within the American educational landscape has become significant since the post-pandemic recovery period (2024-2026). Recent data highlights a decisive preference for this modality. In higher education, institutional surveys show that over 54% of online students now prefer asynchronous courses over synchronous ones [see: 2]. At major public universities, enrollment in asynchronous sections often outpaces «live» digital

options by a factor of two to one. This trend is driven by a diverse demographic shift and the current generation changes. Thus, a large number of students in academia are adult learners, working professionals and parents who require «anytime, anywhere» access.

On the other hand, the so-called «gen Z students» are younger learners who prioritize «choice and voice», [3, c. 118] viewing fixed schedules as a barrier to internships and early-career opportunities. Finally, micro-credentialing, short-form, skills-based certifications that rely on self-paced modules, have become wide-spread for both young and adult learners.

The success of the asynchronous shift in 2026 is rooted in improved instructional design and the integration of Human-First AI. Unlike the «PDF-dump» courses of the past, modern asynchronous environments are dynamic ecosystems. The following are the reasons why such an approach has proven to be effective and ubiquitous.

### 1. Personalized Pacing and Mastery

Asynchronous learning allows for «scaffolded instruction» [1]. Students can replay complex lectures, utilize AI-powered tutors for immediate feedback, and spend more time on difficult concepts without the pressure of a ticking clock. Studies indicate that this flexibility can improve information retention by 25% to 60% compared to traditional methods.

### 2. Inclusion and Equity

Asynchronicity acts as a «great leveler» for students with varied needs. For instance, it facilitates the curriculum design which accounts for neurodiversity: learners who process information differently can manage their environment and pace. Socioeconomic factors also matter: students with unreliable internet or shared devices can «batch» their work when access is available, rather than missing a live session due to a technical glitch [5].

### 3. The Integration of Microlearning

Modern courses are increasingly broken into «bite-sized» capsules. These 5-to-10-minute modules are designed for high engagement, allowing students to learn «in the flow of life» – during a commute, a lunch break, or late at night.

Despite its growth, the shift into asynchronicity has introduced significant pedagogical hurdles that American educators are still navigating in 2026. The primary criticism of asynchronous learning is the loss of «spontaneous spark». Without real-time debate, students may feel isolated. To combat this, institutions are implementing Social Asynchronous Spaces – collaborative walls, video-based

discussion boards (like Flip), and «escape room» gamification that allows students to interact without being online simultaneously.

Besides, asynchronous learning places a high «cognitive load» on a student's executive function. Without a set schedule, students who struggle with time management or self-motivation are at risk of falling behind. Educators are addressing this by implementing automated «check-ins» through Learning Management Systems (LMS) and/or using AI to flag students who haven't logged in, triggering early interventions [4].

Overall, the shift to asynchronous learning is not a temporary reaction to a crisis, but a permanent structural change in American education. By 2026, the «meeting-style» classroom is no longer the gold standard; instead, the personalized, flexible, and tech-enabled asynchronous model has emerged as the most viable path for a workforce and student body that values autonomy above all else.

While the «human touch» remains essential, its role has shifted from a live lecturer to a curated guide, ensuring that even when we learn apart, we are moving forward together.

### References:

1. Cognitive load and neurodiversity in online education: a preliminary framework for educational research and policy / C. Le Cunff [et al.]. *Frontiers in Education*. 2025. Vol. 9. URL: <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1437673> (Accessed on 17.04.2026).
2. Ismailov M., Ono Y. Catering to Inclusion and Diversity With Universal Design for Learning in Asynchronous Online Education. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2022. Vol. 13. URL: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.819884> (Accessed on: 17.04.2026).
3. Generation Z Students' Perceptions of Asynchronous and Synchronous Learning: Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*. 2026. Vol. 54, No. 2. P. 112–134.
4. Implementation fidelity of universal design for learning and effects on student achievement, engagement, and belonging / *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. 2025. URL: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11012345/> (Accessed on 17.04.2026).
5. Universal Design for Learning (UDL): A Global Framework for Educational Equity in the Digital Age / UNESCO. 2024. 45 p. (Education Policy Series).