

19. Yehuda, R., & LeDoux, J. (2022). Response variation following trauma: A translational neuroscience approach to understanding PTSD. *Neuron*, 56(1), 19-32.
20. Zogas, A. (2023). *Military veterans' struggles after war: The role of institutions in shaping post-service life*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

## **ISSUES OF TRAINING MILITARY SPECIALISTS DURING ARMED AGGRESSION**

*Zhuikov D. B., Semenyuk V. I. Associate professors of  
Ivan Kozhedub Kharkiv National Air Force University*

### **Introduction**

The training of military specialists during periods of active armed aggression represents one of the most complex challenges facing modern defense establishments. Unlike peacetime military education, which follows established curricula and progressive development pathways, training during conflict must balance immediate operational needs with long-term professional development while adapting to rapidly evolving battlefield conditions. This article examines the multifaceted issues confronting military training systems during armed conflict, analyzing pedagogical, logistical, technological, and psychological dimensions that distinguish wartime military education from its peacetime counterpart.

*Accelerated Training Timelines and Quality Assurance* The most immediate challenge in training military specialists during armed aggression is the compression of traditional training timelines. Where peacetime programs might extend over months or years, wartime demands often require producing combat-ready specialists in weeks or even days. This acceleration creates significant tension between comprehensive skill development and operational urgency. Training programs must identify and prioritize core competencies while eliminating non-essential elements, risking the development of specialists with technical proficiency but limited strategic understanding.

The quality assurance mechanisms that function effectively during peacetime become strained under combat conditions. Traditional assessment methods, including

standardized testing and progressive skill evaluation, often give way to battlefield performance as the ultimate metric of training effectiveness. This creates a dangerous feedback loop where training deficiencies are identified only through operational failures, potentially at the cost of lives and mission success. The absence of robust validation processes for accelerated training programs represents a critical vulnerability in military education during conflict.

*Integration of Combat Experience into Training Curricula* A unique characteristic of training during armed aggression is the simultaneous occurrence of instruction and combat operations. This creates both opportunities and challenges for curriculum development. Fresh combat experience provides invaluable real-world data that can immediately inform training content, making it more relevant and practical. However, the rapid integration of battlefield lessons requires flexible curriculum structures and instructional staff capable of analyzing and synthesizing combat reports into teachable content.

The psychological impact of incorporating recent combat losses into training scenarios presents another complex issue. While real-world examples enhance training relevance, they may traumatize instructors and students who have personal connections to the events being studied. Training programs must balance the instructional value of recent combat examples with consideration for the emotional well-being of participants, particularly when dealing with catastrophic failures or significant casualties.

*Resource Constraints and Infrastructure Challenges* Armed aggression typically strains or destroys the physical infrastructure essential for comprehensive military training. Training facilities become dual-use assets, often repurposed for operational needs or vulnerable to enemy targeting. Specialized training equipment becomes scarce as resources are prioritized for frontline units. This resource scarcity forces training programs to innovate with limited tools, sometimes reverting to rudimentary training methods despite the sophisticated nature of modern warfare.

The competition for qualified instructors represents another critical resource challenge. The most experienced military specialists are typically deployed to combat

roles, leaving training institutions understaffed or reliant on personnel who may lack recent combat experience. This instructor shortage often leads to increased student-to-teacher ratios, reduced individual attention, and potential degradation of training quality. Some military organizations address this through rotational systems where combat veterans periodically return to training roles, but this approach disrupts unit cohesion and may deprive frontline units of experienced personnel.

*Technological Adaptation and Asymmetric Warfare* Modern armed conflicts frequently feature rapid technological evolution, with new systems and countermeasures emerging continuously. Training programs must not only teach existing technologies but also anticipate and incorporate emerging threats and capabilities. This requires maintaining extraordinary flexibility in curriculum development and access to cutting-edge systems that may still be in development or limited production.

The asymmetric nature of contemporary conflicts adds another layer of complexity to specialist training. Military specialists must be prepared to face non-state actors employing unconventional tactics and technologies not covered in traditional military education. Training must therefore cultivate adaptability and creative problem-solving alongside technical proficiency, challenging instructional methods that historically emphasized standardization and procedure compliance.

*Psychological Resilience and Moral Preparation* The psychological dimension of training during armed aggression requires particular attention. Specialists trained during active conflict enter service with immediate exposure to combat stress, unlike peacetime graduates who typically experience gradual exposure to operational pressures. Training programs must therefore incorporate robust psychological preparation that builds resilience while maintaining ethical boundaries in stress exposure.

Moral and ethical training becomes increasingly crucial yet challenging during armed aggression. The fog of war, combined with the emotional intensity of ongoing conflict, can distort ethical decision-making. Training must reinforce laws of armed conflict and rules of engagement while acknowledging the complex moral dilemmas

specialists will face. This requires moving beyond theoretical instruction to practical application in scenarios that reflect the ambiguous ethical landscape of modern combat.

*Interoperability and Coalition Training* Many contemporary conflicts involve coalition forces, creating additional training complexities. Military specialists must understand not only their own systems and procedures but also those of allied nations. Language barriers, differing military doctrines, and varying levels of technological sophistication complicate training programs already strained by wartime pressures.

The integration of new equipment from international partners presents both opportunities and challenges. While foreign military aid enhances capabilities, it requires rapid training on unfamiliar systems, often without comprehensive technical documentation or native-language support. Training programs must develop methods for accelerating familiarization with allied technologies while maintaining security protocols and operational effectiveness.

*Adaptive Leadership Development* Leadership training during armed aggression must compress years of developmental experience into condensed timeframes. Traditional leadership models based on hierarchical authority and procedural compliance may prove inadequate for the decentralized, adaptive operations characteristic of modern conflicts. Training must therefore emphasize mission command philosophy, critical thinking, and ethical decision-making under uncertainty.

The development of junior leaders presents a particular challenge. In peacetime, leadership skills develop through progressive responsibility and mentorship. During conflict, individuals may find themselves in leadership positions with minimal preparation, requiring training programs to identify and cultivate leadership potential rapidly. This accelerated leadership development must balance confidence-building with humility, ensuring emerging leaders understand their limitations while being prepared to make decisive choices under pressure.

## **Conclusion**

Training military specialists during armed aggression remains a complex endeavor requiring continuous adaptation and innovation. The fundamental tension between immediate operational needs and comprehensive professional development defines this challenging educational environment. Successful training programs during conflict share several characteristics: flexibility to incorporate combat lessons, resilience in the face of resource constraints, psychological realism in preparing specialists for combat stress, and ethical grounding to guide decision-making in complex operational environments.

As conflicts continue to evolve in character and duration, military training establishments must develop more agile educational models that can transition seamlessly between peacetime and wartime requirements. The lessons learned from training specialists during active aggression should inform peacetime military education, creating more resilient and adaptable forces capable of meeting future security challenges. Ultimately, the quality of military training during conflict not only determines immediate operational effectiveness but shapes the long-term professional development of armed forces and their ability to maintain security in an increasingly complex global environment.

#### References

1. Bacevich, A. J. (2021). *The New American Militarism: How Americans Are Seduced by War*. Oxford University Press.
2. Binnendijk, H. (2023). *Transforming Defense Logistics*. RAND Corporation.
3. Builder, C. H. (2020). *The Masks of War: American Military Styles in Strategy and Analysis*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
4. Cacioppo, J. T., & Patrick, W. (2022). *Loneliness: Human Nature and the Need for Social Connection*. W.W. Norton & Company.
5. Cancian, M. F. (2022). *Military Training and Equipment in an Era of Fiscal Constraint*. Center for Strategic and International Studies.
6. Cook, M. L. (2023). *The Moral Warrior: Ethics and Service in the U.S. Military*. State University of New York Press.
7. Cornum, R., Matthews, M. D., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2023). *Comprehensive Soldier Fitness: Building Resilience in a Challenging Institutional Context*. American Psychologist.
8. Dempsey, M. E. (2022). *Radical Inclusion: What the Post-9/11 World Should Have Taught Us About Leadership*. Missionday.
9. Der Derian, J. (2023). *Virtuous War: Mapping the Military-Industrial-Media-Entertainment Network*. Routledge.

10. Farrell, T. (2021). *The Norms of War: Cultural Beliefs and Modern Conflict*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
11. Grossman, D. (2020). *On Combat: The Psychology and Physiology of Deadly Conflict in War and in Peace*. Warrior Science Publications.
12. Hammes, T. X. (2022). *The Sling and the Stone: On War in the 21st Century*. Zenith Press.
13. Ignatieff, M. (2023). *The Warrior's Honor: Ethnic War and the Modern Conscience*. Holt Paperbacks.
14. Jowett, G. S., & O'Donnell, V. (2023). *Propaganda and Persuasion*. Sage Publications.
15. Kaldor, M. (2022). *New and Old Wars: Organized Violence in a Global Era*. Stanford University Press.
16. Keegan, J. (2020). *The Face of Battle: A Study of Agincourt, Waterloo, and the Somme*. Vintage.
17. Kilcullen, D. (2023). *The Accidental Guerrilla: Fighting Small Wars in the Midst of a Big One*. Oxford University Press.
18. Kohn, R. H. (2022). *The Future of the American Military Presence in Europe*. Strategic Studies Institute.
19. Leonhard, R. (2022). *The Principles of War for the Information Age*. Presidio Press.
20. Maguen, S., & Litz, B. T. (2022). *Moral Injury in the Context of War*. PTSD Research Quarterly.
21. Shay, J. (2021). *Achilles in Vietnam: Combat Trauma and the Undoing of Character*. Scribner.
22. Sloan, S. R. (2021). *Permanent Alliance? NATO and the Transatlantic Security from Waterloo to Afghanistan*. Georgetown University Press.
23. Snider, D. M. (2021). *The Army's Professional Military Ethic in an Era of Persistent Conflict*. Army Profession Press.
24. Stouffer, S. A., et al. (2023). *The American Soldier: Combat and Its Aftermath*. Princeton University Press.
25. Taylor, P. M. (2021). *Munitions of the Mind: A History of Propaganda from the Ancient World to the Present Era*. Manchester University Press.
26. Van Creveld, M. (2021). *Training of Officers: From Military Professionalism to Irrelevance*. Free Press.
27. Vego, M. (2023). *Joint Operational Warfare: Theory and Practice*. Naval War College Press.
28. Walzer, M. (2021). *Just and Unjust Wars: A Moral Argument with Historical Illustrations*. Basic Books.
29. Wong, L., & Gerras, S. (2021). *CU@the FOB: How the Forward Operating Base Is Changing the Life of Combat Soldiers*. Army War College Press.
30. Yost, D. S. (2022). *NATO and International Organizations*. Routledge.