Review, 6(4), pp. 316-333.
8. World Trade Organization. (2022). Trade Facilitation Agreement: Five Years of Implementation. Geneva: WTO.

CARGO INSURANCE IN GLOBAL SUPPLY CHAINS: FUNCTIONS, POLICY STRUCTURES, AND RISK MITIGATION STRATEGIES

A. Reznik, student,
T. Gerasymchuk, Ph.D, Associate professor,
Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University

Global supply chains move an estimated $33 trillion in goods annually across oceans, continents, and borders (Aon, 2025). Each shipment—whether a container of electronics from Shenzhen to Rotterdam or a pallet of pharmaceuticals from Mumbai to Nairobi—faces a gauntlet of risks: storms that can wash containers overboard, theft by organized criminal networks, temperature excursions that spoil sensitive cargo, and geopolitical disruptions that close shipping lanes without warning. The World Shipping Council estimates that approximately 1,400 containers are lost at sea each year on average, and that figure excludes losses from theft, damage, and other causes (World Shipping Council, 2023).

Cargo insurance exists to transfer these financial risks from shippers, consignees, and logistics providers to specialized underwriters. Yet despite its fundamental importance, only an estimated 20% of global shipments are insured, leaving a vast proportion of international trade exposed to uninsured loss (Aon, 2025). This article examines the functions cargo insurance serves, the policy structures available, and the risk mitigation strategies that leading organizations deploy to protect goods in transit and strengthen their supply chain resilience.

The Core Functions of Cargo Insurance

Financial Risk Transfer The primary function of cargo insurance is to transfer the financial consequences of cargo loss or damage from the cargo owner to an insurer. When goods are damaged in transit, destroyed by a vessel casualty, or stolen from a warehouse, the financial impact can extend far beyond the invoice value of the goods themselves. Lost sales, production stoppages, contractual penalties, and reputational

damage compound the direct loss. Cargo insurance provides indemnification that enables businesses to recover and resume operations without bearing the full financial brunt of a catastrophic loss (Lloyd's and International Union of Marine Insurance, 2023).

Filling the Carrier Liability Gap A critical and often misunderstood function of cargo insurance is filling the substantial gap left by carrier liability regimes. Carriers—whether ocean shipping lines, airlines, or trucking companies—operate under liability conventions that severely limit their financial responsibility for cargo loss. Under the Hague-Visby Rules governing most ocean shipments, carrier liability may be capped at approximately $500 per package or customary freight unit. Air carriers under the Montreal Convention may limit liability to approximately $25 per kilogram. These limits rarely approach the actual value of commercial cargo (Soyer and Tettenborn, 2020).

Furthermore, carrier liability is not strict liability. Carriers can invoke numerous defenses—including "perils of the sea," acts of God, and inherent vice of the goods—that may exonerate them entirely. Cargo insurance, by contrast, provides coverage on agreed terms regardless of whether the carrier is legally liable, and subrogation rights allow the insurer to pursue recovery from responsible parties after indemnifying the insured.

Business Continuity and Supply Chain Resilience Beyond financial indemnification, cargo insurance supports broader supply chain resilience. When a shipment is lost, the insurance mechanism provides not only compensation but also a structured process for claims assessment, recovery coordination, and salvage management. Research indicates that insured supply chains recover from disruptions significantly faster than uninsured ones—by one estimate, reducing downtime by approximately 40% (Aon, 2025). This speed of recovery translates directly into maintained customer relationships, preserved market share, and reduced operational disruption.

Compliance and Contractual Obligations

Cargo insurance also serves a compliance function. International commercial

terms (Incoterms), such as CIF (Cost, Insurance, and Freight) and CIP (Carriage and Insurance Paid To), explicitly require the seller to procure insurance coverage for the buyer's benefit (International Chamber of Commerce, 2020). Letters of credit issued under the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits (UCP 600) typically require insurance documentation as a condition of payment. Failure to arrange proper insurance can thus block payment, breach sales contracts, and disrupt trade finance.

Policy Structures and Coverage Types

The Institute Cargo Clauses The foundation of modern cargo insurance is the Institute Cargo Clauses, developed by the International Chamber of Commerce and now maintained by the Lloyd's Market Association. These standardized clauses provide three principal levels of cover, designated as A, B, and C (Lloyd's Market Association, 2009).

Institute Cargo Clauses (A) represent the broadest cover, often described as "all risks." Under Clause A, the insurer covers all risks of loss or damage to the insured cargo, subject only to specified exclusions. These exclusions typically include willful misconduct by the insured, ordinary leakage or wear and tear, insufficiency of packing, inherent vice, delay, insolvency of the carrier, and war and strikes risks (which can be bought back via separate clauses). Clause A is the standard recommendation for high-value finished goods, electronics, pharmaceuticals, and other sensitive cargoes (Hodges, 2016).

Institute Cargo Clauses (B) provide a more restricted named-perils cover. Covered perils typically include fire, explosion, vessel stranding or sinking, collision, discharge at a port of distress, and certain natural catastrophes. Notably, Clause B excludes theft, pilferage, and freshwater damage—exposures that can represent a significant proportion of cargo losses (Hodges, 2016).

Institute Cargo Clauses (C) offer the most limited cover, essentially restricted to major casualties. Clause C covers fire, explosion, vessel stranding or sinking, collision, and cargo jettison. It excludes not only theft and freshwater damage but also damage from heavy weather, earthquake, and lightning. Clause C is suitable primarily for bulk commodities where the cargo is relatively low in value and resistant to partial loss

(Hodges, 2016).

All-Risk vs. Named Perils The distinction between all-risk and named-perils cover is fundamental to cargo insurance. All-risk policies cover all fortuitous physical loss or damage unless specifically excluded. The burden of proof falls on the insurer to demonstrate that an exclusion applies. Named-perils policies, by contrast, cover only losses caused by specifically enumerated risks. The burden of proof falls on the insured to demonstrate that a covered peril caused the loss (Rose, 2012).

All-risk cover is more expensive but provides substantially broader protection. Named-perils cover is more cost-effective but leaves significant gaps that may not become apparent until a claim arises.

Policy Types by Duration and Frequency Specific Voyage Policy covers a single identified shipment from origin to destination. The policy is issued for that specific transit and expires upon completion. This structure suits occasional shippers with irregular volumes (Soyer and Tettenborn, 2020).

Open Cover is a contractual agreement, typically for twelve months, under which the insurer agrees to cover all shipments falling within the scope of the agreement. As shipments occur, the insured declares them to the insurer, and specific certificates of insurance are issued. Open cover is the dominant structure for regular importers and exporters, eliminating the need to negotiate terms for each shipment (Soyer and Tettenborn, 2020).

Open Policy functions similarly to open cover but is structured as a single policy document for an aggregate sum insured representing the estimated total value of shipments over the policy period. Premium is collected in advance, and declarations draw down the available sum insured. Open policies are commonly used for domestic transits and for insureds with predictable annual shipment volumes (Soyer and Tettenborn, 2020).

Marine, Air, and Land Cargo Insurance While "marine cargo insurance" is the traditional term—reflecting the historical origins of cargo insurance in maritime trade—modern cargo policies cover all modes of transport. Marine cargo insurance covers ocean transit, including the perils specific to sea carriage. Air cargo insurance addresses

the distinct risk profile of air freight, including lower transit times but higher handling risks at airports. Land cargo insurance covers road and rail transit, addressing risks including vehicle collision, theft from trucks, and derailment (Lloyd's and International Union of Marine Insurance, 2023).

Door-to-door or warehouse-to-warehouse cover is standard in modern cargo policies, providing seamless coverage from the shipper's premises to the consignee's premises regardless of the number of modal changes in between (Hodges, 2016).

The Marine Dimension: General Average and Maritime Perils Ocean shipments carry unique exposures that cargo insurance specifically addresses. General Average is an ancient principle of maritime law under which all parties in a sea venture—shipowner and all cargo owners—proportionally share losses resulting from voluntary sacrifices made to save the vessel and its cargo from a common peril. If a vessel suffers a fire and cargo is jettisoned or damaged by firefighting water, the shipowner may declare General Average. Cargo owners must then post a bond or cash deposit—potentially as high as 40% of cargo value—before their goods will be released at destination. Cargo insurance covers General Average contributions, and the insurer will provide the required security, enabling cargo release without the consignee having to post substantial cash (Rose, 2012).

Other maritime-specific perils covered by cargo insurance include vessel collision, stranding, sinking, heavy weather damage, and sea-water ingress. These exposures are particular to ocean transport and fall outside standard property insurance.

Premium Determinants and Cost Structures Cargo insurance premiums are determined by multiple interacting factors. The nature and value of the cargo are primary: high-value, theft-attractive goods such as electronics and pharmaceuticals command higher rates than bulk commodities. The mode of transport influences risk; ocean freight generally attracts lower rates (approximately 0.3% to 0.6% of cargo value) than air freight (up to 1.5%) due to the higher frequency but lower severity profile of air cargo losses (Aon, 2025). The route and geopolitical risk environment are critical: shipments transiting conflict zones or piracy-prone waters may incur surcharges of 15% to 30% (Aon, 2025).

Packaging quality, carrier selection, and the insured's loss history further influence premiums. Insurers increasingly expect to see evidence of proactive risk management, and organizations that can demonstrate robust loss prevention practices—through cargo tracking, secure packaging, carrier vetting, and claims management—may secure premium reductions of 20% to 30% (Aon, 2025).

For 2025, industry data indicates that cargo insurance premiums have risen approximately 12% to 18% due to inflation, geopolitical tensions, and elevated claims activity from prior years (Aon, 2025). The sum insured under a cargo policy is typically calculated on an agreed-value basis: the commercial invoice value of the goods plus incidental expenses (generally not exceeding 10% of invoice value) to cover freight and related costs (Hodges, 2016).

Risk Mitigation Strategies

Supply Chain Visibility and Intelligence Visibility is the foundation of effective cargo risk management. GPS tracking, Internet of Things sensors, and real-time monitoring platforms enable shippers to track cargo location, monitor environmental conditions, and detect deviations from planned routes. This intelligence serves dual purposes: it enables intervention when anomalies occur, potentially preventing loss, and it generates data that supports insurance procurement and claims substantiation (Aon, 2025).

Dr. Nick Chapman, Head of Cargo and Logistics for Aon in Asia, notes that visibility can reveal previously unrecognized risk accumulations: "Businesses typically utilize trackers for theft prevention on high-value cargo but in doing so I have seen clients benefit from increased visibility as a secondary outcome. They might be protecting their individual shipments and at the same time discover that multiple shipments were converging at one port and exceeding their insurance limits without them knowing" (Aon, 2025).

Physical Risk Controls and Risk Engineering Cargo losses frequently result from preventable factors: inadequate packaging, improper stowage, exposure to moisture, and inadequate security. Marine risk engineering addresses these vulnerabilities through practical measures including packaging specifications appropriate to the cargo

and transit conditions, carrier selection and vetting protocols, container inspection and load securement procedures, cold chain management for temperature-sensitive goods, and layered security for high-value cargo (Aon, 2025).

Chris Law, head of Aon's US Marine Risk Engineering and Loss Control practice, observes that many businesses overlook these fundamentals: "We see companies spending huge amounts producing a highly sensitive product—like hi-tech components or consumer electronics, for example—then assigning transportation without establishing robust security protocols, which is a vulnerability that sophisticated cargo thieves will discover and exploit" (Aon, 2025).

HS Code Compliance and Documentation Harmonized System code classification directly affects cargo insurance validity. Incorrect classification can lead to tariff mismatches, customs delays, and denied insurance claims. In 2025, several jurisdictions have implemented significant HS code changes: Gulf Cooperation Council countries have adopted mandatory 12-digit HS codes from January 2025, increasing classification precision requirements (Aon, 2025). Accurate classification and documentation are prerequisites for valid coverage and smooth claims processing.

Technology Integration Technology is reshaping cargo insurance and risk management. IoT sensors provide real-time data on temperature, humidity, shock, and location during transit (Aon, 2025). Artificial intelligence and predictive analytics identify emerging risk patterns and enable proactive intervention. Blockchain-based documentation creates immutable records of custody and condition, supporting faster and less contentious claims settlement (Kshetri, 2018). Parametric insurance products trigger automatic payouts when predefined conditions—such as a vessel entering a high-risk zone or a temperature threshold being breached—are met, bypassing the traditional claims adjustment process (Lloyd's, 2022).

Integrating Insurance into Supply Chain Risk Management Cargo insurance is most effective when integrated into broader supply chain risk management rather than treated as a standalone procurement exercise. This integration involves risk assessment that maps cargo flows, identifies accumulation points, and evaluates perils across the supply chain. Coverage alignment matches policy terms to actual risk profiles, ensures

limits are adequate for aggregations, and addresses coverage gaps including cyber, war, and strikes risks where appropriate. Risk improvement actions use data from tracking and claims analysis to drive packaging, routing, and carrier selection improvements that reduce loss frequency and severity. Insurer engagement uses risk management data to present a compelling risk profile to underwriters, supporting better coverage terms and premium outcomes.

Ben Rolfe, Aon's Head of Commercial Risk for Australia, captures the value of this approach: "Insurers increasingly want to see that risk is understood, controlled, and monitored. The more data we can provide, the fewer assumptions that are made around pricing adequacy and capital deployment, and ultimately, the better the outcome" (Aon, 2025).

Conclusion: From Cost to Capability Cargo insurance has historically been viewed as a transactional cost—a premium paid grudgingly to satisfy contractual obligations. In today's volatile, disruption-prone supply chain environment, this perspective is no longer adequate. Cargo insurance, integrated with visibility technology, physical risk controls, and data-driven risk management, becomes a strategic capability that protects not only the financial value of goods but the operational continuity and reputation of the enterprise.

The organizations that will thrive in global trade are those that treat cargo insurance not as an afterthought but as a core component of supply chain resilience. They will invest in the visibility, risk engineering, and insurer relationships that turn insurance from a reactive safety net into a proactive risk management tool. As one industry leader frames it: "This isn't about doing something new for the sake of it. It's about doing what's necessary to thrive in the current volatile trading environment—and that means treating risk management as a capability, not just a cost" (Aon, 2025).

References

1. Aon. (2025). Cargo Insurance and Supply Chain Risk Management: Insights and Strategies. London: Aon Global Risk Consulting.
2. Hodges, S. (2016). Law of Marine Insurance. 2nd ed. London: Routledge-Cavendish.
3. International Chamber of Commerce. (2020). Incoterms 2020. Paris: ICC Publishing.

4. Kshetri, N. (2018). "Blockchain's Roles in Meeting Key Supply Chain Management Objectives." International Journal of Information Management, 39, pp. 80-89.
5. Lloyd's. (2022). Parametric Insurance: Closing the Protection Gap. London: Lloyd's of London.
6. Lloyd's and International Union of Marine Insurance. (2023). Global Marine Insurance Report 2023. London/Hamburg: Lloyd's/IUMI.
7. Lloyd's Market Association. (2009). Institute Cargo Clauses (A), (B) and (C). London: LMA.
8. Rose, F.D. (2012). Marine Insurance: Law and Practice. 2nd ed. London: Informa Law.
9. Soyer, B. and Tettenborn, A. (2020). Carriage of Goods by Sea, Land and Air: Uni-Modal and Multi-Modal Transport in the 21st Century. London: Informa Law.
10. World Shipping Council. (2023). Containers Lost at Sea: 2023 Update. Washington, DC: WSC.

ORGANIZATION OF DANGEROUS GOODS TRANSPORTATION BY ROAD: A FRAMEWORK FOR SAFETY AND COMPLIANCE

V. Vernigora student,

Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University

The transportation of dangerous goods by road is one of the most heavily regulated activities in the logistics sector—and for good reason. Every day, thousands of vehicles carry flammable liquids, corrosive chemicals, toxic substances, and radioactive materials across road networks that pass through cities, residential areas, and environmentally sensitive zones. A single incident involving a tanker of hazardous chemicals can have catastrophic consequences for human life, property, and the environment. The organization of dangerous goods transport therefore demands a systematic, multi-layered approach that integrates regulatory compliance, risk assessment, personnel competence, vehicle integrity, and emergency preparedness.

At the international level, the carriage of dangerous goods by road is governed principally by the Agreement concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR), established under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe in 1957 and regularly updated—most recently in the ADR 2025 edition, applicable from 1 January 2025 (UNECE, 2024). The ADR framework has become the global benchmark, adopted not only across Europe but increasingly referenced by