5. Council of Supply Chain Management Professionals. (2023). State of Logistics Report 2023. Lombard, IL: CSCMP.
6. Gutelius, B. and Theodore, N. (2019). "The Future of Warehouse Work: Technological Change in the U.S. Logistics Industry." UC Berkeley Labor Center Working Paper.
7. International Transport Forum. (2023). Transport Outlook 2023. Paris: OECD Publishing.
8. Joerss, M., Schröder, J., Neuhaus, F., Klink, C. and Mann, F. (2016). "Parcel Delivery: The Future of Last Mile." McKinsey & Company Travel, Transport and Logistics Report.
9. Lee, H.L., Padmanabhan, V. and Whang, S. (1997). "Information Distortion in a Supply Chain: The Bullwhip Effect." Management Science, 43(4), pp. 546-558.
10. Pettit, T.J., Fiksel, J. and Croxton, K.L. (2010). "Ensuring Supply Chain Resilience: Development of a Conceptual Framework." Journal of Business Logistics, 31(1), pp. 1-21.
11. World Economic Forum. (2023). Global Risks Report 2023. Geneva: World Economic Forum.

LOGISTICS AS A KEY FACTOR IN MILITARY CONFLICTS: THE ARTERY OF WAR

V. Dmitrienko, student,

T. Gerasymchuk, Ph.D, Associate professor,

Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University

"Amateurs talk strategy. Professionals talk logistics." This maxim, attributed to General Omar Bradley and echoed in military academies worldwide, encapsulates a truth as old as warfare itself: armies march on their stomachs, and campaigns are won or lost not solely on the battlefield but along the supply lines that feed, arm, fuel, and sustain the fighting force. Military logistics—the science of planning, preparing, and executing the movement and sustainment of armed forces—is the great enabler without which strategy is merely aspiration and tactics are fleeting.

History offers a stark record of logistics as the decisive variable in conflict. Napoleon's Grande Armée, the most formidable military force of its era, was destroyed not primarily by Russian steel but by Russian winter and the catastrophic failure of its supply chain. The Allied victory in World War II was built as much on the prodigious industrial and logistical output of the American "Arsenal of Democracy" as on battlefield heroism. More recently, modern conflicts have reaffirmed that precision

weaponry and advanced technology cannot compensate for logistics that cannot keep pace. This article examines the enduring centrality of logistics in military operations, the components that constitute military supply chains, and the evolving challenges facing combat logistics in the twenty-first century.

The Historical Imperative: Logistics as Destiny

Napoleon's Fatal March Napoleon's 1812 invasion of Russia stands as history's most enduring lesson in logistical hubris. The Grande Armée crossed the Niemen River with approximately 600,000 men, the largest army Europe had ever seen. Six months later, fewer than 100,000 staggered back. The decisive factor was not a decisive battle but the collapse of the supply system. Napoleon's logistics doctrine relied on foraging—living off the land—which had served him well in the fertile and densely populated regions of Central Europe. The vast, sparsely populated, and deliberately scorched Russian landscape offered nothing to forage. Supply wagons could not keep pace with the army's advance, and the retreat through winter conditions found the army without food, fodder, or fuel. As military historian Martin van Creveld observed, the campaign demonstrated that "an army that cannot be supplied cannot fight, and an army that cannot move cannot be supplied" (van Creveld, 2004).

The American Civil War: Railroads and Rivers The American Civil War transformed military logistics through the systematic application of industrial-age transportation. The Union's extensive railroad network and control of the Mississippi River enabled the movement and supply of armies on a scale previously impossible. General William Tecumseh Sherman's March to the Sea deliberately targeted Confederate logistics infrastructure—railroads, warehouses, mills, and farms—recognizing that destroying the enemy's capacity to supply itself was as militarily decisive as destroying its armies. Sherman's campaign operationalized the concept of logistics as a target, a principle that would become central to twentieth-century strategic bombing and modern interdiction doctrine.

World War II: The Arsenal of Democracy The Allied victory in World War II was fundamentally a logistics triumph. The United States produced over 300,000 aircraft, 86,000 tanks, and 12 million rifles during the war, and delivered them across

two oceans to multiple theaters of operation (Overy, 1995). The Normandy invasion required the assembly of 7,000 vessels, 12,000 aircraft, and 160,000 troops on a single day, supported by the logistical infrastructure to sustain an entire army group indefinitely on hostile shores. The construction of the artificial Mulberry harbors and the PLUTO pipeline under the English Channel exemplified the ingenuity required to solve unprecedented logistical challenges.

Germany and Japan, by contrast, saw their offensive capabilities strangled by logistics failures. Rommel's Afrika Korps was chronically starved of fuel and ammunition by Allied interdiction of Mediterranean supply lines. Japan's merchant marine was systematically destroyed by American submarines, isolating its far-flung island garrisons and starving its home islands of resources. The war confirmed that industrial capacity and the ability to project it across distance had become the ultimate determinants of military power.

The Components of Military Logistics

Supply: The Stuff of War Military supply encompasses the full spectrum of materiel required to sustain combat operations: food and water, ammunition, fuel and lubricants, medical supplies, spare parts, construction materials, and a thousand other items that modern armies consume at prodigious rates. A single American division in sustained combat operations during the Gulf War consumed approximately 1.2 million gallons of fuel, 500,000 gallons of water, and 2,000 tons of ammunition per day (Pagonis, 1992).

Supply classification systems—such as the U.S. military's ten classes of supply, ranging from subsistence (Class I) to medical materiel (Class VIII) to non-military program items (Class X)—enable systematic planning and management of this vast material requirement.

Transportation: The Artery Transportation moves supply from source to user across strategic, operational, and tactical distances. Strategic lift—by ship, strategic airlift, and pre-positioned stocks—moves forces and materiel into a theater of operations. Operational lift moves them within the theater to support corps and divisions. Tactical lift delivers to brigades, battalions, and ultimately the individual

soldier at the front line.

Each leg of the transportation chain represents a potential bottleneck. The tyranny of distance compounds relentlessly: fuel trucks burn a significant portion of their payload simply reaching forward units, creating a self-consuming logistics requirement. This "logistical iceberg" means that sustaining a force at distance requires exponentially more resources than sustaining it near its base.

Maintenance and Medical: Sustaining Capability Logistics is not only about supply but about sustaining combat power. Equipment maintenance—repairing, recovering, and returning damaged vehicles and weapons to service—determines whether forces can maintain operational tempo. Medical logistics—evacuating casualties, providing surgical care, and managing medical supply chains—preserves the human capital that is every army's most precious resource.

Infrastructure and Engineering Military logistics requires infrastructure: ports to receive supplies, roads and rail lines to move them, depots to store them, airfields to fly from, and bases to operate from. Combat engineers build, repair, and protect this infrastructure while denying its use to the enemy. The rapid construction of forward operating bases, runway repair after attack, and the clearing of supply routes from improvised explosive devices are among the most demanding logistics functions in modern conflict.

Modern Challenges in Military Logistics

The Precision Paradox Modern militaries field extraordinarily capable but extraordinarily demanding weapons systems. A single F-35 fighter requires approximately 50 maintenance hours per flight hour and depends on a global supply chain spanning thousands of components from hundreds of suppliers. Precision-guided munitions, while reducing the number of sorties required to destroy a target, are complex, expensive, and difficult to produce at scale. The war in Ukraine has revealed that consumption rates of artillery ammunition in high-intensity conventional conflict far exceed peacetime production capacity, forcing a reevaluation of stockpile requirements across NATO.

Contested Logistics For most of the post-Cold War era, Western militaries

operated with air superiority and secure supply lines, an assumption that potential near-peer adversaries will not permit. China's anti-access/area-denial strategy, employing long-range missiles, cyber capabilities, and space-based targeting, directly threatens the logistics nodes—ports, airfields, depots—upon which force projection depends. Research by Krepinevich (2010) on anti-access challenges argues that uncontested logistics cannot be assumed in any future conflict between technologically capable adversaries.

Contested logistics demands dispersion, hardening, redundancy, and deception. It requires the ability to sustain operations while under attack, to repair damage rapidly, and to operate in degraded modes when digital systems are compromised. These imperatives run counter to the efficiency and concentration principles that have dominated peacetime logistics planning.

Cyber and Space Vulnerabilities Modern military logistics is digitally dependent. Supply chain management systems, transportation coordination, and maintenance tracking all rely on networked information systems that are vulnerable to cyberattack. Space-based assets—GPS navigation, satellite communications, reconnaissance—are essential to logistics coordination and are increasingly threatened by anti-satellite capabilities. The loss of these capabilities would not merely inconvenience logistics; it would fundamentally degrade the ability to move and sustain forces.

Climate and Energy Climate change introduces new logistics challenges. Extreme weather events disrupt supply routes and damage infrastructure. Rising sea levels threaten coastal bases and ports. Operational environments are becoming more extreme—hotter, wetter, or drier—increasing the demand for water, cooling, and climate-controlled supply storage.

Energy logistics is a particular vulnerability. Modern armies are extraordinarily fuel-intensive, and fuel convoys are high-value, vulnerable targets. In Iraq and Afghanistan, fuel convoy casualties represented a significant proportion of total casualties, creating a tragic paradox: the logistics of fuel supply was costing the lives it was meant to sustain. This has driven interest in renewable energy, battery technology, and energy efficiency as both operational and force protection priorities.

The Ukraine Conflict: A Contemporary Case Study The war in Ukraine, ongoing since 2022, provides a stark contemporary illustration of logistics as the decisive variable in modern conventional warfare. Russian logistics failures in the initial invasion—columns of vehicles immobilized by fuel shortages and punctured tires, the absence of sufficient forward supply capacity, vulnerability of supply convoys to ambush—prevented the rapid decisive operation that Russian planners had anticipated.

Ukrainian forces, conversely, have demonstrated the asymmetric effectiveness of logistics interdiction. Using precision artillery and rocket systems to target ammunition depots, fuel storage, rail junctions, and bridges far behind the front line, Ukraine has systematically degraded Russian combat power not by destroying tanks at the front but by destroying the supplies that keep tanks fighting. Research published during the conflict emphasizes that modern precision strike capabilities have made logistics nodes more vulnerable than ever before, fundamentally altering the balance between logistics efficiency and logistics survivability (Jones, 2023).

The war has also demonstrated the strategic importance of allied logistics support. The ability of NATO nations to sustain the flow of ammunition, weapons systems, and sustainment materiel to Ukraine—and Russia's inability to prevent that flow—has been as decisive as any single battle.

Conclusion: The Enduring Primacy of Logistics Logistics is not the glamorous dimension of warfare. It lacks the drama of the infantry assault, the precision of the airstrike, the brilliance of the strategic maneuver. Yet without logistics, none of these are possible. The history of warfare is, at its foundation, a history of supply—of armies that could reach the battlefield and sustain themselves there, and armies that could not.

The great captains understood this. Alexander the Great's conquests were enabled by meticulous logistics planning that integrated naval supply with overland movement. Wellington's Peninsular Campaign succeeded as much through his careful attention to supply through the Lines of Torres Vedras as through battlefield tactics. Patton's Third Army raced across France in 1944 not because his tanks were faster but because his logistics officers performed miracles of fuel supply.

For military planners and policymakers, the lesson is clear: logistics is not a

support function but a combat function. Investment in logistics capability—strategic lift, prepositioned stocks, maintenance capacity, supply chain resilience, and the protection of logistics forces—is as essential as investment in combat forces. Wars cannot be won by logistics alone, but they can certainly be lost by its failure. The artery of war must flow, or the body of the army will perish.

References

1. Jones, S.G. (2023). "The War in Ukraine and the Future of Military Logistics." Center for Strategic and International Studies Commentary.
2. Krepinevich, A.F. (2010). Why AirSea Battle? Washington, DC: Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessments.
3. Overy, R. (1995). Why the Allies Won. London: Jonathan Cape.
4. Pagonis, W.G. (1992). Moving Mountains: Lessons in Leadership and Logistics from the Gulf War. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
5. van Creveld, M. (2004). Supplying War: Logistics from Wallenstein to Patton. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

MAIN LOGISTICS PROBLEMS: NAVIGATING THE CHALLENGES OF A COMPLEX WORLD

D. Barmina, student,
T. Gerasymchuk, Ph.D, Associate professor,
Kharkiv National Automobile and Highway University

Logistics is the circulatory system of the global economy, responsible for the seamless movement of goods from raw material extraction to final consumption. When this system functions smoothly, it is invisible—products appear on shelves, deliveries arrive on time, and businesses operate without interruption. When it falters, the consequences are immediate and severe: empty shelves, frustrated customers, rising costs, and disrupted production lines.

The modern logistics landscape is more complex, more demanding, and more volatile than at any point in history. Globalization has extended supply lines across continents. E-commerce has compressed delivery expectations from days to hours. Technology has created both unprecedented opportunities and novel vulnerabilities. Climate change, geopolitical instability, and demographic shifts add layers of uncertainty that challenge even the most sophisticated logistics operations. This article